No.10

SPEARHEAD

one shilling

Special
Centre Page
Feature



CIVIL RIGHTS '66

EDITORIAL

Forwarding Address:-
c/o Albion Press
106 Norwood High Street
London S.E.27.

Editor:-
JOHN TYNDALL

No. 10 April-May 1966

1966 = 1984?

ALTHOUGH we have eighteen years to await until 1984, many of George Orwell's predictions already appear to be shaping themselves. With African States daily demanding war in the holy name of 'peace',and political expression under constant suppression in the name of 'democratic freedom',Wilson's Government and what it stands for plunges us even deeper into the grim, twilight era of double-think,newspeak,and the Thought Police.

The coverage of this issue of SPEARHEAD is not a pretty one, yet it does not exaggerate the condition of Britain today. Suppression, whether of legitimate expression, of individual workers rights, or of the racial right of self-preservation, is rampant and growing, whilst a disrespect for ordered society is shown in the escalating crime figures.

This is a picture of a rotting Society crumbling back into a constantly eroding sea of chaos; of a Nation, once proud, that has lost the will to be great; of a People whose elected leaders are charging hell-bound down the Gadarene slopes to oblivion.

Britain's death as a world influence is no murder. It is in fact exactly nine hundred years since Britain was last put to the sword; since then, we have resisted conquest from often superior forces. Our Country has committed suicide. We have bravely defended ourselves from without only to succumb to the rot from within. We, as Citizens, must bear the responsibility for this because we have permitted this to happen.

It is true that there are political organisations and societies dedicated to restoring Britain's greatness and self-respect, but as always the onerous task of action falls to a conscientious minority, despite the underlying sympathy of the masses.

The fragmentation of these slender forces further hinders their chances of success in turning back the tides of national decay. Whilst this situation continues, there can be little effective opposition. This paper has consistently advocated collaboration, and this is needed NOW. Come it must, but the longer it is delayed, the harder will be our task of mending the damage done.

Let us all therefore work as one to prevent Orwell's nightmare from becoming reality,and take strength from the ironic naming of the hero-rebel of his book - WINSTON SMITH.

On page 7 of this issue appears the first of a series of 'profiles' of our great national heroes. It is hoped that in some small measure this series will help our younger readers, victims of the anti-nationalism of education today, gain knowledge of the greatness of our heritage and those who made it so.

Vive La France!

In the corridors of N.A.T.O. there is much wailing, gnashing of teeth and rending of garments. Why? Because France is opting out and wishes to undertake her own defence.

Certainly, collaboration is necessary against the potential Communist aggression, but how in God's name are people to defend their countries against alien soldiers with alien ideologies, when their very defenders are foreign and take their orders from another continent? It is the love of a man for his homeland that makes him ready to fight, and die if necessary, for it. Foreign soldiers cannot be expected to feel that same bond and act accordingly. France is right to rely on her own countrymen to defend their own land.

If N.A.T.O. really wishes to do something to stop Communism, how about maintaining an effective trade blockade, so that member nations can be prevented from exporting to the Iron Curtain countries wheat and other materials necessary for the Red's survival? If starving our Rhodesian kin is morally right, why demur about starving Reds?

A Question Of Priorities

Labour wants to disarm Britain in order to reduce annual defence expenditure to the figure of £2,000,000,000. The Labour leaders tell us that our defences must be adapted to the needs of our financial budget.

Their reasoning runs contrary to the entire logic of history.

Nations survive the storms and stresses of the ages, not by adapting their defences to the needs of budgeting, but by adapting their budgeting to the needs of defence. The first duty of any national leadership is to make the nation's borders secure from any possible invasion, and to protect the nation's vital interests wherever in the world they may be. Only when these duties have been carried out has the government the right to budget for other things.

Today they tell us that we cannot afford to defend ourselves.

We were able to afford it when Drake met the Spanish Armada during the reign of the First Elizabeth. We were able to afford it when Britain awaited the invasion of Napoleon. Defence might have been cheaper in those days, but so was our budget much smaller. We afforded it because those in power knew that if we didn't we would have ceased to exist as a nation. We afforded it by making defence what it always should be: a priority.

What are Labour's priorities today?

Over £200 million in handouts for black states which treat us with contempt; free prescriptions to get the votes of the perpetually unfit; funds for U.N.O. to continue its campaign of international subversion. Those are just a few.

We say again what we have said before: a nation is truly sick when it becomes governed by such insanities.

The expression 'we can't afford it' has indeed become our governing slogan today.

Britain 'can't afford' adequate defences; Britain 'can't afford' an independent aircraft industry;Britain 'can't afford' to get into space;Britain 'can't afford' to develop an empire.

We 'can't afford' decent roads; we 'can't afford' a better housing programme; we 'can't afford' more schools; we 'can't afford' to rebuild our cities.

We 'can't afford' to pay our doctors decently, or our scientists, or our aircraft designers, or our university lecturers,or our farmers, or our old people.

In short, we 'can't afford' to do anything worthwhile these days at all!

Why?

Today we envy the economic strength of Germany. Germany seems to be able to do so many of the things we can not. Anyone who has recently been to Germany will testify to the immense prosperity there, which exists side by side with a far more advanced state of modernisation,

what

efficiency, cleanliness, and general national development than we have in Britain.

Yet in 1945 Germany was a heap of rubble. Her people were starving. Her industries were smashed. Reckoned in financial terms, Germany could 'afford' nothing.

What did the Germans do? Instead of whining about their poverty, about their not being able to 'afford' this and that they rolled up their sleeves and worked, and worked, and worked .....

That, in simple terms, is our prescription for Britain.

We will be able to afford things when we have more wealth. And wealth is not a thing created on a Chancellor's balance sheet. It is something created by inventiveness, organisation, and above all, EFFORT.

We need industry working at full stretch with maximum automation. We need every able-bodied person earning a full day's money for a full day's labour. We need the spivs and the drones, the beggars and the beatniks, the parasites and the ponces cleared off the streets.

We need Britain to become a powerhouse of industry, purpose, and ordered life.

Let us get this straight: what we can or cannot afford has nothing to do with international balances of payments or gold and dollar reserves. It has everything to do with the wealth of the soil and the productive energies of the people. Britain and the British world is well enough endowed with these things. It's time we saw them properly put to use.

'Poverty'—American Style

After the latest recurrence of Negro rioting in the Watts area of Los Angeles the same pathetic excuses are again being aired - poverty and lack of opportunity. A B.B.C. programme recently analysing 'poverty' in the Los Angeles area defined it as earning less than $4000 (£1400) per year!!!! A sample of the 'poor' were interviewed and asked to reconcile their self-styled 'poverty' with the possession of new cars, houses, washing machines, refrigerators, T.V.'s, etc. In reply those interviewed, (none of them White), protested that most of these possessions were absolute necessities, and that their dissatisfaction stemmed from the difficulty in extending further their possession of these 'necessities' and obtaining the latest models. Further, one stated that if their sons could not be provided with cars, the sons would 'obviously' resort to stealing them .....

This outlook is typical in the land which has the highest Negro standard of living in the world, and contrasts with

Leftist charges of 'prejudice' and 'discrimination'. By these standards of poverty Great Britain with its average wage of well below £1000 per year should be classified as a disaster area. We might even qualify for Oxfam-type aid from the affluent rioters of Watts. Such social service would perhaps have the added advantage of helping to take their minds off exterminating White men.

The United States is the Capitalist-opportunist's dream state, where the sky is the limit for anyone who has ruthless enterprise and drive. If the Jews (hardly the world's most popular people) can make such outstanding financial successes in the U.S.A., why shouldn't the Negro, who also shares the advantageous reputation of being 'much maligned'

we

and 'persecuted' be able to achieve the same? There is no answer unless one acknowledges the inherent superiority of the Jews over the Negro - and that is a matter of Race .....

Fighters or Fairies?

The thing in the photograph on this page is not only male; it is a member of an army - to be exact, the Swedish Army.

We reproduce it because it exhibits better than anything else the degeneration of Western youth.

'It' is a Swedish pop-singer. Called up into the armed forces, it pleaded with its C.O. to be allowed to keep the hair-do which was its stock-in-trade in civvy street.

The C.O., progressive fellow indeed, agreed!

Sweden, like Britain, is a land of ultra-liberalism, of 'laissez faire', of 'free expression'. Old fashioned authority and discipline are 'out'. They are dirty words - not in keeping with the spirit of the times. In Sweden, as in Britain, everyone - and especially the youth - do as they damn well like.

The result is to be seen everywhere. The type in the photo is representative of a growing breed; a breed of sexless, pansified half-men. Wherever liberal democracy exists, this type thrives and multiplies.

Who is there amongst us who has known real service-life who does not thirst at the thought of their old R.S.M. getting a batch of these freaks on the regimental square for just a couple of hours rifle drill on a hot summer's day!!!

And who is there who does not wince at the prospect of being defended by specimens of this kind against future attack by the Soviet or Chinese armies?

U.D.I. Not 'Inevitable'

We have said often enough before now that White Rhodesia has an unassailable case.

All the more pity if the White Rhodesians should destroy that case.

That this could happen is suggested by some of the arguments Rhodesians have been heard to put up in support of U.D.I.

These arguments generally revolve around the assertion that British communities overseas must, at a particular point in their development, cut themselves away politically from the Motherland. America has been cited as an example of this and a parallel has been drawn between the position of modern Rhodesians and eighteenth-century Americans, with the oft-repeated theme about 'breaking the strands of colonialism'.

think...

The parallel is misleading and damaging.

Let us get this straight: the White Rhodesians are not a separate nation. They are a part of the British nation. If it is right to grant them independent government it is because we have found it practical in the British political tradition to grant autonomous powers to communities within the British world living at great distance from the Mother Country. It is certainly not because Rhodesia warrants self-government on the basis of any separate nationhood.

It became necessary for the White Rhodesians to seize independence simply and purely because it was in the circumstances the only way to safeguard the rights of leadership, and thus the ensurance of continued law and order, that the Government of London was set on taking away from them. That, and that alone, is the issue. U.D.I. is merely the inevitable consequence of it.

This must be stated in case it be thought that U.D.I. is an end in itself. U.D.I. in fact would never have become the urgent necessity that it did had we had a government here in London which was loyal to the interests of our fellow Britons in Africa. Only because the Government here had betrayed these interests was 'illegal' action made inevitable.

Rhodesians will only do a disservice to their cause here if they think and talk of U.D.I. in terms of a necessary break with Britain. The break was over one issue; the issue of Race; the issue of whether Rhodesia is in future to be ruled by Britons or Blacks. That is the only issue that counts in the Rhodesian crisis. Let us not be side-tracked from it.

The Old 'Lie-Factory' Again

More distressing than the attitude of the Government over the Rhodesian issue is the utterly revolting campaign of lies now being put abroad by press and television. Some examples of these lies were recently uncovered by the research of Mr. Mel Hill, an Irishman who has spent 18 years in Rhodesia, and were reported in the Dublin 'Sunday Independent' of February 20th.

One example was a film report shown by Telefis Eirrean showing soldiers with fixed bayonets in Salisbury, the idea being to give the impression that the city was in a state of siege. Investigation found that the film was taken from the opening of parliament a decade ago.

An Australian paper had a photo of Africans having a siesta in a Salisbury park. Caption: 'Salisbury is too busy to bury its dead'.

Various British papers have had pictures of African children rooting for food in garbage cans, suggesting terrible shortage and starvation in Rhodesia. In fact reporters were found to have thrown sweets or money into the cans and told the kids to go and fetch them just in order to get the desired pictures.

The B.B.C. had a film purporting to show 'rioting in Rhodesia', but the film was so badly edited that they had forgotten to cut out the camels. There are no camels in Rhodesia, not even in captivity. The film was made during a riot in black-governed Sudan, and then palmed off on the unsuspecting British public.

Our 'educators' never cease to drum into us the idea that the 'Nazis' were the great engineers of the lie in propaganda. It is about time that someone investigated just who is writing the 'news' we read today in this glorious democracy; who - and with what motives?


EASTER 1966
CND
OOBLEY..OOO! THE BOMB IS LIKE... BAD FOR YOU!
OOO...COOL THE TESTS MAN! YOU WANT TO MAKE US INTO FREAKS OR SOMETHING?
4 OUT OF 5 NEW YORK DOCTORS SAY STRONTIUM 90 CAUSES TOOTH DECAY, BAD BREATH AND ATHELETES FOOT
OH MAN! TESTING DEFILES OUR GENES AND CHROMOSOMES AND THINGS!
SIT-INS YES!! FALL-OUT NO!!
MUM...MUMBA JUMBA...
YIN! YIN!
MAKE OUR PADS...LIKE SAFE FROM FALLOUT!
SNAP
SNAP
SNAP
SNAP

HAROLD WILSON'S POLICE STATE

A Report by DENIS PIRIE

PHONE tapping, political police surveillance, imprisonment without trial: It sounds like the sinister machinations of the Puritanian Police State. The British public think, give a shudder, and again thank an inscrutable providence for the good fortune of being born in Britain, far away from it all. But how far away are they from it all? Likely as not, not far! A victim of this kind of treatment could live around the corner - or next door - because we are not describing Puritania but Britain in 1966!

Most people who think of our Police Force are blissfully unaware that it has a political section, responsible for the close scrutiny of any political group whose aims differ significantly from the ruling party.

At every political meeting held by these groups stands an ever-present nondescript little man, noting down every word said by every speaker ..... These notes find their way into the thick dossiers, kept on Government instructions, on every active Patriot.

An activist can expect a call from the political police, to see him on some flimsy pretext or other, with the underlying object of gleaning information, or merely to remind the man by their presence that he has not escaped their attention. To be sure, they are invariably polite and rarely exceed their authority - but they are always a reminder .....

Most people can pick up their telephones without the second ominous click that heralds the hidden ear of the Government's agents; but to many active Nationalists that click is all too familiar. In 1957 the Authorities 'admitted' to at least 200 instances of regular tapping. From Patriots 'in the know' we are reliably informed that the figures today are much higher.

We can hardly believe that some of Wilson's ultra-Left friends suffer these inconvenient indignities, with a man of Wilson's own choice put in charge of the Government Department ultimately responsible for the political police.

Whilst conducting their legitimate campaigns, political groups are often the objects of violent physical attack by pseudo-political organisations representing extremist minority groups. The political police are always at these functions and observe the blatant attempts at intimidation but, strangely enough, never appear in court to testify accordingly. Although it has been privately admitted that the political police are well aware of the aims and tactics of these pseudo-political terror groups, there is no evidence of action of any kind being taken against them.

On the other hand, Nationalists can be arrested and held on the flimsiest evidence - the Authorities exercising to the full limit of their powers. Fellow members of their groups are treated as confirmed criminals insofar as they are debarred the right to stand as sureties for their accused comrades. The Police refuse them reasons why they are being held, and in some cases Nationalists are knowingly held in gaol in case of criminal acts against them by other people. Men are even held in gaol so that they will not be able to participate in politics.

Gaoled, whilst their trouble-making opponents are courteously released, even when charged with more serious offences; Nationalists are treated as common criminals and deprived of their civil rights. Their appeals for freedom are dismissed without reason.

The reaction from the legislature is similar. A full and detailed case history of violence against Nationalists has been communicated both to the Home Secretary and the Director of Public Prosecutions. The D.P.P. has acknowledged the letter only, whilst nothing at all is forthcoming from the Home Office. The pseudo-political terror groups have at least one Member of Parliament serving in the Government, yet no M.P. dare speak out against their outrages to Nationalist British Citizens.

The Police make great publicity of their avowed aim of driving violence from Britain's streets but have in fact either virtually ignored political violence, or at best have taken few measures against those causing it.

The Police are not apparently able to stop violence against us, yet refuse us the right to defend ourselves adequately and indeed take action against any of us who do.

In mitigation however, whenever the Police do manage to catch our attackers in flagrante delicto, what happens? The court fines him the minimum amount, apparently on the grounds that the poor soul has been provoked beyond measure by us. How this is possible is not explained in view of the fact that the organisation with which our attackers are usually associated has made press statements to the effect that violence will be used against us if we 'dare' appear publicly, as well as the important fact that political police spies are at the meeting, and any 'provocation' on our part would merit our immediate arrest! If the Police do not see any provocation, and do not arrest us, why do the courts accept 'provocation' as an excuse for Alien violence?

Comparisons are often made by the ignorant, of the 'better' civil rights position in Britain compared to America. What though is the real position? In America, if a Negro is refused his 'civil right' of sitting down next to an unwilling White in a bar, entire armies of police and military are called out so that Sambo can sit down. In Britain what action is taken if young Nationalist men and women are attacked in the streets by Aliens? Where are the police, and the whole panoply of civil rights mechanism?



Appeals to the Judicial and Legislative Authorities mean nothing or are ignored or forgotten. What then should we do - follow the example of Martin Lucifer King and lead hordes of marchers on a crime and vice march through the capital? No - we will still, despite the grossest of real physical provocation, advocate the legal solution. We will continue our appeals for Justice and Civil Rights through agencies National and International; and work harder for a replacement of the corrupt system that permits such travesties.

Only under a real Nationalist government will we be able to pierce the conspiratorial curtain of silence and injustice and bring to account those responsible for their actions or ommissions. Then only will Justice and Civil Rights be achieved for Britons in their own country.

THE ARREST AND DETENTION OF GBM LEADER JOHN TYNDALL

by **PAUL TREVELYAN**

A Case in Point



ON 19th March 1966, a Saturday, a Greater Britain Movement loudspeaker vehicle was touring West London broadcasting appeals to the electorate not to vote for any general election candidate who was in favour of continued immigration into Britain and/or supported the sanctions against our Rhodesian brothers. In the vehicle were John Tyndall, leader of the G.B.M., and seven members of the Movement. Also carried in the vehicle was a number of wooden staves. After touring various shopping centres the party arrived at Notting Hill Gate. Three members, one of them a young lady, were dropped off to sell copies of 'Spearhead' while the loudspeaker tour continued. Within minutes of starting selling - they were attacked. A gang of some fifteen thugs, ostensibly selling copies of 'Searchlight', a self-styled 'anti-Fascist' hate-sheet edited by the notoriously Left-Wing Jewish M.P. Reg Freeson, tore into them. One of the G.B.M. team slipped and fell, whereupon he was immediately set upon and viciously kicked. Fortunately, a policeman happened on the scene and was able to arrest three of the attackers. All three were Jews. The tour was then continued.

Two hours later the vehicle was waved down by the police and the party were requested to report to Notting Hill Police Station where the victim of the assault and Mr. Tyndall, a witness to the last moments of the assault, were required to make statements. Upon arrival at the Police Station a number of policemen descended on the vehicle, searched it, and naturally found the staves - which no attempt had been made to conceal. All the party were then arrested. None were cautioned or told the offence.

Later all were officially charged with possessing offensive weapons; but not only the staves, for the police had managed to find two towing-pins in the back and two pieces of saw-blade from the tool box in the cab. They also found the light aluminium tubing from broken picket board handles.

Mr. Tyndall openly admitted that the wooden staves were for protection and that as leader of the Movement he was responsible for whatever was found in a Movement vehicle. He appealed for the release of his comrades.

However, the police were adamant and the charges stood. It was also pointed out that the saw-blades were merely part of the tool kit and would no more be used as weapons than any of the spanners etc. also carried. The picket board handles had been in the vehicle ever since they were returned from another Police Station where they had been exhibits in a prosecution against a gang who broke into the Viking Books premises and stole the picket boards - when recovered they had had the handles broken off. These truthful explanations were ignored. By this time the staves had become 'coshes' and that is how they were described in Court and reported in the Press.

The G.B.M. group then asked for bail. This was peremptorily refused. The explanation was that 'instructions' had been given. MEANWHILE, THE THREE ATTACKERS HAD BEEN RELEASED ON BAIL, even though charged with Assault Occasioning Actual Bodily Harm.

All eight were kept in custody until their Court appearance on Monday morning when the seven were freed on bail to the very bailors who could have stood bail for them at the Police Station on Saturday! However, the police objected to bail on the part of Mr. Tyndall, saying that he might be subjected to 'retaliatory (for what, one wonders?) attacks by pseudo-political groups'. The magistrate accepted this 'reasoning'!

Mr. Tyndall was kept in prison for three weeks, twice more being refused bail during that time - on the same 'grounds'. When questioned as to the identity of the 'pseudo-political group' the police spokesmen replied -'the Jewish community'.

On 13th April the G.B.M. leader was released ON HIS OWN SURETY. Presumably the police had information that the suspected attacks would no longer transpire?

I apologise for this lengthy recital of detail, but now we may ask some very cogent questions. Firstly, why did the Greater Britain Movement carry staves in their vehicle? Readers of past issues of 'Spearhead' will be conversant with the long tale of assaults on members, premises and vehicles over the last eighteen months. In particular an attack on the Viking Books shop in which dozens of aliens attacked with axes, crowbars and clubs. At the time there were only ten people in the shop, all completely unarmed. All can testify to the fact that they knew that had any one of them fallen into the clutches of these fiends they would have been murdered. On the same day as the attack on the shop a G.B.M. meeting had been attacked BEFORE EVEN THE LOUDSPEAKERS HAD BEEN SET UP OR A WORD SPOKEN. Police were given the registration numbers of four cars used in the shop attack. No charges have followed. Letters have been sent on a number of occasions to the Director of Public Prosecutions requesting action against this gang. No action to date.

Who are this gang? The group loosely known as the '62 Committee, led by one Harry Bidney, avowed to crush 'Fascism' by 'any means' is known to be responsible. The police know this too. So do the Jewish Defence Committee. As the police have proved themselves unable/unwilling/incapable of protecting patriots what are they to do? The answer to that and to the reason why thugs are released on bail when their victims are not, to why Mr. Tyndall should not in any circumstances be released one day but allowed to go free on another and to many other valid points have not been forthcoming. Why? I leave it to you.

DENIS PIRIE -

"COWLEYISM"

A great deal has been said and written in recent weeks about 'Cowleyism' or lynch law on the factory floor. The Press has for a change done a service to the community by helping to expose this kind of behaviour, whilst the Old Parties' politicians have vied with one another in belated condemnations and protestations. But what the British people want to know is why none of this muck has not been raked up until now. Are both the Press and the Old Parties' politicians trying to tell us that this behaviour is something new in British industrial life? The public know that this is not so.

The light of publicity was cast upon the Cowley 'kangaroo court' before which seven workers were arraigned. They were intimidated and fined for not participating in an unofficial strike - a strike not authorised by their Union. Immediately afterwards more cases of a similar nature came to light, publicly revealing at last the cancerous rot that has set in at factory floor level, where intimidation and threats are all too often the order of the day. Cases even appeared in which workers were being persecuted and punished for not restricting productivity!

Apart from pious protestations from the Old-Gang-politicians, annoyingly embarrassed during their periodic session of political pandering before the General Election by the emergence of a really vital issue;what is being done about it?

One of the most pathetic displays of whitewashing seen for a long time was the miserable cries of 'exaggeration' and 'lies' by the perturbed hacks of the vested Union interests; conveniently ignoring the fact that four of the seven victims needed medical treatment as a result of these'lies'and 'exaggeration'. It has since been suggested that labour 'troubles' should not be legislated against, this by the self-same people who urged imprisonment of all critics of coloured immigration under the new Race Laws! This reveals that these people care less for the individual rights of the British worker than they do for his coloured immigrant replacement.

The Labour Party is bent on legislation that will make Trades Unionism free from any legal responsibility for its actions. This can only lead to more Cowleyism as those petty tyrants of the workshop realise that they are above the law. The Liberal Party has voted with the 'Socialists' on this issue, whilst the Tories, now mouthing protests, did absolutely nothing to cure this disease during their own period of Government.

The responsibility for this lies first and foremost with the Government who have permitted these actions by ignoring them when the law was broken, and by not legislating to protect the workers so that such actions could be adequately brought within the scope of the law. By ommission and default the Governments of the day have become tacit accomplices in this conspiracy against the British worker.

The Unions too have demanded and received virtually unlimited powers to act in what they deem is the interest of the worker. These interests however, only seem to be restricted to a furtherance of the Marxist class-war against the management. The betterment of working conditions comes a very poor second, and the ultimate interests of the workers and the country last, if at all. The movement founded to protect workers from intimidation now appears to provide the bulk of the latter-day intimidators. In their battle to protect the Trades Union Movement for the workers, they fail to see the need to protect the workers from abuses within the Trades Unions.

If the Unions fail to control, discipline, and punish offences within their ranks, there would be a good case for suggesting that the Trades Union Movement with omnipotent powers has outlived its usefulness, insofar as it is unable and unprepared to use them, and in fact constitutes an anachronism that modern Britain cannot afford.

Employers too must take their share of the blame. They know full well what is happening within their works, yet they too have looked away when these industrial gangsters wreak their havoc. Many firms give way to these thugs and have actually been known to fire or in other ways punish workers victimised by such thuggery so as to 'avoid trouble'. By their action, these firms have become the enforcers and collaborators of the 'kangaroo courts' that hold sway in Britain today.

Finally, the workers themselves must accept the fact that these tiny cliques of gangsters in their midst would be powerless if they were resisted en masse. It is the very fear of the intimidated that is the major weapon in the hands of the intimidators. It is the workers' fault for allowing themselves to be treated in such a manner. If in the long run appeals to the Unions are fruitless then the self-same weapon of industrial action should be taken against the Unions as it would be against any other persecutors of the people.

In the economic crisis that grips our Country, there is an urgent need for better industrial relations, and much greater productivity. Industrial thuggery is therefore not only the enemy of the British worker on the shop floor, but in the wider sense the very real enemy of Britain. That a great proportion of these gangster elements are Left Wingers and Marxists is no coincidence. These traitors and what they stand for must be ruthlessly crushed if Britain is to survive at all as an Industrial Power.

The Greater Britain Movement with its policy of Industrial Courts, legally constituted and vested with arbitrary judicial status would render all industrial disputes involving strikes, lock-outs, go-slows, Cowleyism, loss of productivity and all forms of restrictive practice unnecessary. Our policy of increased workers' partnership would help create that better relationship needed.

British workers, wake up! The only chains you have to lose are those your 'Comrades' have made. Join us in scrapping once and for all the fratricidal class-war and let us together establish an Industrial Britain befitting the twentieth century.

THAT OTHER ELECTION

While our election was taking place, a little publicised, but vitally important election was conducted in South Africa. In that election, Dr. Verwoerd's ruling Nationalist Party was once more returned with an increased majority, thus outnumbering all opponents by 2 to 1.

This election result will assume vital importance in the coming months of the Rhodesia-Harold Wilson dispute. It must now be apparent that the Rhodesians will be assured of South Africa's open support. Now the question is - how far dare Wilson go, now that he has seen fit to turn the Royal Navy into a band of pirates? He must realise that with South Africa's support the Rhodesians will easily survive sanctions;and Wilson must also reckon with possible military aid from South Africa in the event of **anyone** attempting to invade neighbouring Rhodesia. There will undoubtedly be a fantastic number of volunteers from Southern Africa and Europe - including Britain!

The South Africans have shown their solidarity to their leaders in preserving White rule.

WE IN BRITAIN MUST ALSO SUPPOIT OUR OWN KIND IN AFRICA!!

Michael Passmore

Australia's Future

? ? ? ? ? ?

The United Nations today passed a resolution by an overwhelming majority, mainly African, that Australia must absorb the overflow of Asian population, amounting to many millions. If Australia resists, there will be mandatory economic sanctions against her, and if this is not successful, the U.N. will send troops to secure the territory for the benefit of mankind.

It is thought that there will be little need for force, as Australia is completely dependent upon the United States for all her raw materials and defence equipment, and dependent upon Russia for all her oil supplies.

At the moment, the United Nations is committed in 19 countries with troops, mainly because of racial disturbances.

If British troops are called upon we can have our first regiment there is six hours.

There is no question of Britain not sending troops, as economic sanctions against us by the rest of the world would mean mass starvation in these over-populated Islands.

As Britain owes the World Bank all the value of her next ten years' output, it is thought that the Federal Bank of America may step in and help us with part of the cost in exchange for ten years' free supply of all the gas from the North Sea presently used in Britain.

Naturally, if we found ourselves in this position, we would have to revert to using the old coal mines.

If the above were to materialise, to make up for the loss to the economy, it is thought that the working week would have to be increased from 48 hours to 48½ hours per week. There is however, a bright side to the news,for if Australia plays her proper part in helping over-populated Asia, then we here in Britain will have an extra half-hour of leisure and relaxation time.

George Brown

GREAT BRITONS

by
Pendragon



No. 1 - Alfred the Great
848 - 901

ALFRED, son of Ethelwulf, was a grandson of Egbert, that king of the West Saxons who became the first ruler of all Saxon England. Before Egbert's time England was divided into seven kingdoms.

Although the common threat of the Danes helped keep the kingdom unified had Alfred never fought a battle, it is highly probable that he would still be remembered as a father of our Nation, and one of the greatest rulers in the world's history.

Instead of paying 'Danegeld', Alfred organised resistance against the raiders and although not every battle was won he gave the Danes such a 'hot' reception that in 878 he made peace with the Danish leader Guthrun on his, Alfred's, terms.

The Danes were forced to become Christians and allowed to settle in what is now Norfolk(North folk) and East Anglia. As the Danes were of kindred stock and had a similar language and institutions, they were quickly accepted and assimilated by our Saxon forebears.

When, in 897, a fresh band of Danes attacked they were met by a united opposition and, in the words of a Norse poet - "They got hard blows instead of shillings, And the axe's weight instead of tribute".

Not only did Alfred keep the realm safe, but he set the country's laws in order and ensured that justice prevailed for all his subjects. He instituted the translation from Latin into English of all books of learning and commissioned the writing of the 'Anglo-Saxon Chronicle', thus leaving for us the finest and oldest history in its own tongue possessed by any nation in Europe.

However we look at Alfred, whether as warrior, lawgiver or statesman, he appears equally worthy of the title 'Great'. It is recorded that he summed up his rule in these words - "I desire to leave to those that come after me a remembrance of me in good works. So long as I have lived I have striven to live worthily". He gave his whole life to the welfare of his country, regarding this as a duty he owed his people.

Action Report

Greater Britain Movement

MEMBERS ARRESTED

Seven members arrested with the leader of the G.B.M. (See centre pages) whilst engaged in a combined loudspeaker tour/sales drive in the Kensington area spent an uncomfortable weekend in Police cells due to the refusal of the Police to grant them bail. This despite the fact that they had voluntarily gone to the Police Station and Mr. Tyndall had accepted full responsibility for the vehicle and its contents! Earlier the same day John Hammond was severely beaten and kicked by a 15-strong gang of thugs. Unfortunately, Police were able to capture only three of them. Their names? RATMAN, KAPLIN and REISS. John Hammond had been lawfully engaged in selling copies of the last edition of *Spearhead* at the time of the attack. Immediately after their release these seven members returned to the Movement's activities. It will take more than beatings and weekends in the cells to deter patriots like these!!

ELECTION

It had been intended that groups would attend all major Tory and Labour election meetings in order to question speakers on the disastrous policies of both parties. However, as it soon became evident that chanting, howling Left-wing students were bent on disrupting these meetings it was considered inadvisable for us to attempt intelligent heckling in the face of such unruly drowning of speakers, let alone questioners.

Above: Our largest vehicle about to set-off on a 'Support Rhodesia' loudspeaker tour. Eight-foot banner reads - WHITE RHODESIA MEANS PEACE AND PLENTY.

Right: Other side of vehicle showing-BLACK RHODESIA MEANS DEATH & DESTRUCTION banner. Viking Books shop in background.

Read the Programme of the...
GREATER BRITAIN MOVEMENT
9d. (post-free) from:
C/O106 NORWOOD HIGH STREET LONDON, S.E.27

INDOOR MEETING

An invited audience of over one hundred attended a G.B.M.'Support Rhodesia' meeting at Denison House, London.
Speeches by the Movement's leader, John Tyndall, the National Organiser, Denis Pirie, and Paul Trevelyan received an enthusiastic reception. Literature and 'Support Rhodesia'car stickers were distributed. (We still have plenty of these stickers in stock and will be pleased to supply you FREE -just send your name and address.)
After the meeting a sympathiser's car was stolen, but a systemmatic search of the neighbourhood organised by London members resulted in its recovery within 24 hours.

LOUDSPEAKER TOURS

In order to make the fullest use of our vehicles and loudspeaker equipment during the election period regular tours of busy weekend-shopping areas were instituted. While our views were broadcast from the moving vehicle, members sold this paper and distributed pamphlets. Stops were made and impromptu meetings held. This method of reaching a very wide audience has proved so successful that we shall greatly extend these activities in future. Teams visited **Leyton** and other parts of **East London**, **Earls Court**, **Paddington**, and other parts of **West London**. In **South London** we toured **Brixton, Clapham**, **Lambeth** and **Wandsworth**. Outside London we visited **Esher**, **Guildford**, **Aldershot** and other towns in **Surrey**. In each instance the vehicle carried large banners bearing relevant slogans.

DEMONSTRATION

London members conducted a picket-board demonstration in busy Piccadilly in support of Ian Smith and our fellow Britons in Rhodesia. This was followed by the handing in of a petition at No.10 Downing Street calling upon Harold Wilson to abandon his persecution of our kinsfolk.
Judging by the reactions of the crowds of people who witnessed the demonstration, our views are by no means those of a minority!

THE GENERAL ELECTION
Cause & Effect

THE much vaunted General Election is over at last. The Labour Party has been given the mandate to implement to the fullest possible extent its socialist 'solution' to the chronic ills that beset this country. The Tory Party has been soundly defeated by a majority that would indicate a definite swing to the Left.

As there is no indication that this Government is going to last less than its full term of five years, it is as well for us to consider the causes behind this election result, for we fear that the British people are likely to suffer greatly for their choice.

Contrary to what we are led to believe nowadays, the electorate of Britain respects firm, purposeful and authoritative government. In most cases people elect a government to run a country on their behalf, the people themselves being little concerned with the mechanics of politics or the real issues at stake. Society would rather a government that acted decisively and risked making errors, than one that vacillated in a feeble attempt to offend nobody, and consequently did nothing - right or wrong!

Logically following the desire of the mass for firm government, is their need for a leader. Regardless of the apparent perversity of the Nation's choice of 'leader', there can be no doubt that Mr. Wilson's claim to the title has been justified by the absence of any suitable claimant from the other major parties. Virtually without opposition, Mr. Wilson has skilfully been able to convey to a large proportion of the electorate the impression that he is the complete master of any and every situation. The masses have believed this and in desperate need for a leader - any leader -have elected him.

'BLACK PACT'

This election could be termed an election by default. The Labour Party's successes are due not so much to what they have done - but to what the Tories have not done! In power pitiful, in opposition farcical - that is the Tory record. In order to pander to 'progressive' opinion the Tories formed the 'black pact' with the Socialists over such vital issues as the Rhodesian situation. When the electorate looked for a Tory lead against Wilson's betrayal of our kinsfolk, the Tory Party let them down; making a half-hearted attempt to quibble over the minor details of Labour treachery. It is also believed that amongst the Tories, unused as they are to Opposition, certain elements have not dared to oppose virulent Labour policies lest they 'rock the boat'. In the interests of 'National Unity' they are apparently prepared to ride with the Devil to Hell! With this kind of bipartisan 'opposition', is it any wonder that public confidence in the Tories has been shattered?

Wilson's party has one advantage over their Tory rivals. They have at least an ideology of sorts, a plan of campaign, a blueprint to which all their changes in the structure of society are aimed. Although Labour's ultimate aims are criminally wrong and disastrous for Britain's future as an Independent World Power, they are at least aims, and as such tend to compare favourably with Tory day-to-day muddling and complacent opportunism. They are not even pledged to conserve, let alone produce anything likely to better society in a Greater Britain.

Another factor in the election has, of course, been the nature of the British people. With perhaps a muddled sense of 'fair play' they have given indications that they feel that eighteen months of Labour rule with a majority of three has not given a real chance for an alternative to those 'thirteen years of Tory misrule'. That they are going to learn the hard way over the next five years is a matter of certainty. Agree or not, this feeling has contributed greatly to the extent of Labour's victory.

THE BLACK VOTE

Finally, but by no means the less important, is the fact that Labour has wooed and won the votes of some one million plus coloured voters; who see in the Labour Party, their undoubted champion. With the phenomenal increase in the numbers of eligible coloured 'Britons', this ominous trend is undoubtedly going to increase in importance in future elections.

STRENGTH THROUGH UNITY

Having briefly examined some of the decisive factors of the recent election, we, as outspoken Nationalists, must ask ourselves how all this is likely to affect our aims and tactics.

Firstly, we must extend our congratulations on the vigorous campaigns conducted by the British National Party, Union Movement, and the other independent candidates. The most surprising result was at Southall where John Bean, the B.N.P.'s candidate, failed to retain his deposit. This perhaps would be partially accounted for by a general feeling that this election was really a Tory/Labour contest, and that votes for other parties, despite undoubted sympathies, probably would be wasted. But, let us not forget the increase in the number of Nationalist candidates standing. This at any rate is a promising sign.

The overall swing to the Left is a warning to us against complacency. In the absence of any other real opposition, Nationalists have an excellent opportunity to ram home the real solutions to Britain's problems, and must undertake this task with increased vigour.

To advocate the Nationalist answer to an ever-widening audience must be our prime aim. We do not doubt that Wilson and his crypto-Communist friends will tighten up on their suppression of the effective Right. To increase our effectiveness despite mounting suppression, we need increased collaboration and co-ordination between Nationalists. Only by a pooling of resources and talent can we produce the needed fighting opposition to the Wilson gang. With our country safely under Wilson's heel for the next five years, we cannot afford the luxury of fragmentation - especially at a time when the many anti-Labour elements throughout the land, sick of Tory compromise, are waiting for a lead.

Let us all be quite clear about this; in terms of ultimate aim, little separates most existing Nationalist Groups. Closer collaboration is both feasible and advisable. If we are all to build an effective Nationalist opposition we must work together. Nationalists have everything to gain and nothing to lose by acting now. When the next election ultimately comes we will then be ready. The extent of real opposition to Wilson depends on all of us. Dare we fail to meet this challenge?

SUPPORT RHODESIA

Read the Nationalist Viewpoint

VIKING BOOKS

106 Norwood High Street
London S.E.27.

Send for Our Free Catalogue NOW!

Printed and Published by Albion Press, London S.E.27.

Subscribe to SPEARHEAD

ENSURE you always get your copy of 'Spearhead' by placing yourself on our list of postal subscribers. Fill in your name and address below, and send it, with the required subscription, to our address at:-

Albion Press, 106 Norwood High St., London S.E.27.

NAME.....

ADDRESS.....

.....

.....

Copy to be sealed/unsealed.

Enclosed subscription of.....

Rates (12 issues)

U.K. & Ireland:	17s. (sealed)
	15s. (unsealed)
Commonwealth (excl. Canada):	
	22/6 (sealed)
	15s. (unsealed)
Canada:	$3.00 (sealed)
	$2.50 (unsealed)
U.S.A.	$3.50 (sealed)
	$2.50 (unsealed)
Others - at local equivalent of:	
	22s. (sealed)
	15s. (unsealed)